

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,250	10/08/2004	Nigel-Philip Cox	2002P00676WOUS	8761
7590 10/07/2005			EXAM	INER
Siemens Corporation			SAVAGE, JASON L	
Intellectual Proj	perty Department			
170 Wood Avenue South			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Iselin, NJ 088	30		1775	-

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	N		/_/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	P
	10/511,250	COX ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jason L. Savage	1775	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addres	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commur D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		rits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 13-32 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 27-32 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 13-26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.		÷
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 October 2004</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stag	J e
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20041008.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:)

Art Unit: 1775

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 13-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The limitation in line 3 of claim 13 that a plurality of material layers are arranged on the component that chemically react with the masking layer is indefinite since it is unclear if Applicant intends there are multiple coating layers on the component separate from the masking layer wherein one layer chemically reacts with the masking layer, if they are multiple coating layers wherein all of the layers chemically reacts with the masking layer, or if Applicant was describing the multiple layer structure of the masking layer. For Examination purposes, the claim will be interpreted as meaning multiple layers are arranged on the component, all of which chemically react with the masking layer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1775

Claims 13-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wheat et al. (US 6,253,441) and evidenced by the present Application.

Wheat teaches a turbine component such as a blade or vane comprising a masking layer arranged on a portion of the component (col., ln. 14-39). Wheat further teaches that in addition to the mask material layers a material layer of aluminum is arranged on the component (col. 5, ln. 57-67).

Wheat is silent to the limitation that the material layer arranged on the component chemically reacts with the masking layer, however it is the position of the Examiner that such a reaction would have been inherent particularly given the methods of deposition recited (col. 5, In. 55 – col. 6, In. 4). Regarding the limitation that a water-soluble layer is formed by the reaction, Wheat teaches that the mask layer may be carbon (col. 4, In. 36-55). As was disclosed by Applicant in paragraph [0036] of the instant Application, an aluminum and carbon reaction product is water-soluble.

Wheat is also silent to there being layer arranged on the component comprise a plurality of layers that chemically react with the masking layer. However, absent a teaching of the criticality or showing of unexpected results from the arranged layers comprising multiple layers as opposed to a single layer, it is viewed as a design choice that would not provide a patentable distinction over the prior art. It would have been within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art to have recognized that any number of material layers could be formed on the component with a reasonable expectation of success of producing a gas turbine component exhibiting desirable properties.

Art Unit: 1775

Regarding claim 14, Wheat teaches the turbine may be a blade or vane (col. 3, ln. 14-15).

Regarding claim 15, Wheat is silent to the material layer being a ceramic thermal barrier coating (TBC); however forming TBC coatings on turbine components is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have applied conventional coatings such as TBCS coatings to the turbine component of Wheat.

Regarding claim 16, although White does not explicitly recite the aluminum coating is a bond coat, it would meet the claim limitation of being a bond coat (col. 47-67).

Regarding claim 17, White teaches that carbon is arranged on the outer surface of the masking layer (col. 4, In. 36-55).

Regarding claim 18, the reaction between aluminum and carbon would provide a ceramic layer.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 27-32 are allowed

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art teaches turbine components comprising masking layers arranged on the surface of the component wherein additional layers are subsequently formed thereon which react with the masking layers. The prior art teaches masking coatings

Art Unit: 1775

form reaction products that are removable by chemical or mechanical means and other masking coatings that do not react with the subsequent coated layers.

The prior art of Wheat (US 6,253,441) additionally teaches a masking layer containing copper which is subsequently coated with aluminum. As was noted in the instant Application, the reaction product from aluminum and carbon is water-soluble and this Wheat is deemed to teach a water-soluble formed layer on the component.

However, the prior art does not teach or suggest a component wherein a thermal barrier coating is chemically reacted with the masking layer which forms a water-soluble layer such as is claimed in claims 27-32.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason L. Savage whose telephone number is 571-272-1542. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on 571-272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1775

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jason Savage

9-30-05